

Appl. No. 10/757,681  
Examiner: WRIGHT, INGRID D, Art Unit 2835  
In response to the Office Action dated February 7, 2006

Date: April 26, 2006  
Attorney Docket No. 10113621

## REMARKS

Responsive to the Office Action mailed on February 7, 2006 in the above-referenced application, Applicant respectfully requests amendment of the above-identified application in the manner identified above and that the patent be granted in view of the arguments presented. No new matter has been added by this amendment.

### Present Status of Application

Claims 1, 2, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yeung (US 6,842,652) in view of Kumagai et al (US 6,731,959). Claims 3, 4, 11, 12, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yeung in view of Kumagai et al and in further view of Mishio (US 2002/0048459 A1). Claims 5-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant notes that claim 5 was amended in the paper filed on November 24, 2005 to become an independent claim including all the limitations of claims 1 and 5. In this paper, claim 5 is further amended to recite a second display disposed on the body. Claims 1 and 9-13 are canceled. Claims 2-4 are amended to depend from claim 5. New claims 17-20 are added. Support for the new and amended claims can be found at least in original claims 5-8 and in Fig 2a. Thus, on entry of this amendment, claims 2-8 and 14-20 are pending.

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested in light of the amendments and the remarks contained below.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant thanks the Examiner for his indication in the Office Action that claims 5-8 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Independent claim 5 as currently pending includes all the limitations of original claims 1 and 5. It is therefore Applicant's belief that this claim is in condition for allowance. Insofar as claims 2-

Appl. No. 10/757,681

Examiner: WRIGHT, INGRID D, Art Unit 2835

In response to the Office Action dated February 7, 2006

Date: April 26, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 10113621

4, 6-8 and 14-16 depend from claim 5, it is Applicant's belief that they are also in condition for allowance.

#### New Claims 17-20

New claim 17 recites an electronic device, comprising a body having a first contact portion; a rotating member rotatably connected to the body, the rotating member having a second contact portion; a display disposed on the body; and an image capture sensor disposed on the electronic device, wherein the display is enabled when the first contact portion contacts the second contact portion, and the display enters a standby mode when the first contact portion is separated from the second contact portion.

Insofar as claim 17 recites that the display is enabled when the first contact portion contacts the second contact portion, and the display enters a standby mode when the first contact portion is separated from the second contact portion, it is Applicant's belief that claim 17 is allowable over the cited references. Furthermore, as claims 18-20 depend from claim 17, it is Applicant's belief that they are also in condition for allowance.

#### Conclusion

The Applicant believes that the application is now in condition for allowance and respectfully requests so.

Respectfully submitted,



Nelson A. Quintero

Reg. No. 52,143

Customer No. 34,283

Telephone: (310) 401-6180

P116785NAQ